

**Additional information appendices for 2/3**

This includes information that practitioners may find useful for future reading, including case law, articles and further guidance;

- (1) See LBX v K L M [2013] EWHC 3230 (fam)( [http://www.39essex.com/cop\\_cases/lbx-v-k-l-m/](http://www.39essex.com/cop_cases/lbx-v-k-l-m/)) for guidance on what would be considered relevant/irrelevant information in decisions concerning residence and care issues
- (2) See case of London Borough of Wandsworth v M and others (para 49 of Judgment). ([https://www.familylaw.co.uk/news\\_and\\_comment/london-borough-of-wandsworth-v-m-and-others-2017-ewhc-2435-fam#.WyKAFU2osdU-](https://www.familylaw.co.uk/news_and_comment/london-borough-of-wandsworth-v-m-and-others-2017-ewhc-2435-fam#.WyKAFU2osdU-))
- (3) See case of CC v KK & STCC ([http://www.39essex.com/cop\\_cases/cc-v-kk-and-stcc/](http://www.39essex.com/cop_cases/cc-v-kk-and-stcc/))

This case reports on the issues around assessing mental capacity on residence and care issues and what the court has identified as bad practice in this area.

- (4) PC and NC v City of York Council [2013] EWCA Civ (para 54 of Judgment) ([http://www.39essex.com/cop\\_cases/pc-and-nc-v-city-of-york-council/](http://www.39essex.com/cop_cases/pc-and-nc-v-city-of-york-council/))
- (5) <http://www.39essex.com/docs/newsletters/capacityassessmentsguide31mar14.pdf>

see para 35 and 36 of guidance document, also reference PC and NC v City as above.